

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,378	06/23/2003	David Farrow	SMB-004	7906
22463 7590 10/14/2008 SMART AND BIGGAR			EXAM	UNER
438 UNIVERSITY AVENUE			SKOWRONEK, KARLHEINZ R	
SUITE 1500 F TORONTO, O			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			1631	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/601,378	FARROW, DAVID	
Examiner	Art Unit	
KARLHEINZ R.	1631	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) <u>KARLHEINZ R. SKOWRONEK</u> .	(3)Sally Hemming.			
(2) <u>Matthew Zischka</u> .	(4)David Farrow.			
Date of Interview: <u>09 October 2008</u> .				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: 1.				
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Piesold et al., Tullis et al., Bernhardt et al., Peterson et al</u> .				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.				

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The discussion centered on the rejection of claim 1 as unpatentable over Piesold, in view of Peterson, in view of Peterson, in view of Peterson, in view of Peterson in view of Peterson in view of Peterson in view of Peterson.</u>

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/KARLHEINZ R SKOWRONEK/ Examiner, Art Unit 1631